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Abstract

Interactive proof assistants based on higher-order logics (e.g. Agda, Coq) usually lack a good support of proof automation (even in the first-order world). We have been developing a tool in which Agda users obtain support from first-order automatic theorem provers (ATPs) such as Equinox and Eprover. In our current approach, the ATPs are called by our tool on users’ marked conjectures after the Agda type-checking is finished.
Motivation

Proof assistants (most)
- Higher order-logic
- Interactive (more user effort)
- Expressive types systems
- Complex developments
- Response from seconds to minutes

Automatic theorem provers (ATPs) (most)
- First-order logic
- Automatic
- Untyped
- One-shot problems
- Response from seconds to hours
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Automatic theorem provers (ATPs) (most)
- First-order logic
- Automatic
- Untyped
- One-shot problems
- Response from seconds to hours

⇒ Combination of automatic and interactive theorem proving
Overview: the agda2atp tool

Goal: Agda users obtain support from first-order ATPs

Features:

- **Agda**: The high level proofs steps (introduction of hypothesis, case analysis, induction steps, etc.)
- **ATPs**: The “trivial” proofs steps
- The ATPs are called on users’ marked conjectures
- The ATPs are called after the Agda type-checking is finished
Overview: the agda2atp tool (cont.)

What we did?

1. To modify Agda to accept the users’ marked conjectures
2. To translate the required Agda internal types to FOL formulas
3. To translate the FOL formulas to ATPs’ inputs
Proofs examples

Example (Agda and ATPs proofs). We will see proofs by induction, pattern matching and using equational reasoning.
Users’ marked conjectures

We added a new built-in pragma to Agda:

{{-# ATP axiom myAxiom #-}
{-# ATP definition myDefinition #-}
{-# ATP hint myHypothesis #-}
{-# ATP prove myPostulate h1 h2 ... hn #-}
Users’ marked conjectures

We added a new built-in pragma to Agda:

{-# ATP axiom myAxiom #-}
{-# ATP definition myDefinition #-}
{-# ATP hint myHypothesis #-}
{-# ATP prove myPostulate h1 h2 ... hn #-}

Example (Agda and ATPs proofs). We will see the previous proofs using the ATP pragma.
The translation algorithm

Source: Agda internal types (simplified)

Types $ \mathcal{A} T U ::= S t$

Sorts $ \mathcal{A} S ::= \text{Set}_0 | \text{Set}_1 | \ldots$

Terms $ \mathcal{A} t ::= \text{Var} x | \text{Lam} \lambda x.t | \text{Pi} T (\lambda x.U) | \text{Fun} T U$

$ | \text{Def} d t^* | \text{Con} c t^* | \text{Sort} S | \ldots$
The translation algorithm

Source: Agda internal types (simplified)

Types \( \exists T U \ ::= S \ t \)

Sorts \( \exists S \ ::= \text{Set}_0 \mid \text{Set}_1 \mid \ldots \)

Terms \( \exists t \ ::= \text{Var } x \mid \text{Lam } \lambda x.t \mid \text{Pi } T \ (\lambda x.U) \mid \text{Fun } T U \)

\( \quad \mid \text{Def } d \ t^* \mid \text{Con } c \ t^* \mid \text{Sort } S \mid \ldots \)

Target: First-order predicate logic with equality

Terms \( t ::= \text{FOLVar } x \mid \text{FOLFun } f \ t^* \)

Formulas \( F ::= T \mid \bot \mid \neg F \mid F \land F \mid F \lor F \mid F \Rightarrow F \mid F \Leftrightarrow F \)

\( \mid \forall x.F \mid \exists x.F \mid \text{Predicate } p \ t^* \mid t \equiv t \)
The translation algorithm (cont.)

Algorithm 0.1: typeToFormula(Γ :: Env, T :: Type)

case T of
    (Set₀, t) → termToFormula(Γ, t)
    (Set₁, t) → termToFormula(Γ, t)
    others → fail
The translation algorithm (cont.)

Algorithm 0.2: termToFormula(\(\Gamma :: \text{Env}, t :: \text{Term}\))

\[
\text{case } t \\
\begin{cases} 
\text{Var } x & \rightarrow \begin{cases} 
\text{if } x \in \Gamma \\
\text{then return (Predicate } x [ ] \text{)} \\
\text{else fail} 
\end{cases} \\
\text{Lam } \lambda x. t & \rightarrow \begin{cases} 
x' \leftarrow \text{freshVar(}\Gamma\text{)} \\
f \leftarrow \text{termToFormula(}\Gamma \cup \{x'\}, t\text{)} \\
\text{return } (f) 
\end{cases}
\end{cases}
\]
Algorithm 0.3: termToFormula(\( \Gamma :: \text{Env}, t :: \text{Term} \))

\[
\text{case } t \text{ of } \begin{cases}
\text{Pi } T \ (\lambda x.U) \rightarrow \{ \begin{aligned}
x' & \leftarrow \text{freshVar}(\Gamma) \\
f_2 & \leftarrow \text{typeToFormula}(\Gamma \cup \{x\}, U)
\end{aligned} \} \\
\text{case } T \rightarrow \\
\begin{aligned}
(\text{Set}_0, \text{Def } d) & \rightarrow \begin{aligned}
- x :: \text{Set}_0 \\
\text{return } (\forall x'. f_2)
\end{aligned} \\
(\text{Set}_0, \text{Def } d t_1, \ldots, t_n) & \rightarrow \begin{aligned}
f_1 & \leftarrow \text{typeToFormula}(\Gamma) \\
\text{return } (f_1 \Rightarrow f_2)
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned} \\
(\text{Set}_1, \text{Sort } s) & \rightarrow \begin{aligned}
- x :: \text{Set}_1 \\
\text{return } (f_2)
\end{aligned} \\
\text{others} & \rightarrow \text{fail}
\end{cases}
\]
**Algorithm 0.4:** termToFormula(\(\Gamma :: \text{Env}, t :: \text{Term}\))

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{case } t & \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\text{Fun } T U \rightarrow f_1 \leftarrow \text{typeToFormula}(\Gamma, T) \\
& f_2 \leftarrow \text{typeToFormula}(\Gamma, U) \\
& \text{return } (f_1 \Rightarrow f_2)
\end{array} \right.
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Def } d [ ] & \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\text{if } d \in \{ T, \bot \} \\
& \text{then } \text{return } (d)
\end{array} \right.
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Def } d [ t ] & \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\text{if } (d == \neg) \\
& \text{then } f \leftarrow \text{termToFormula}(\Gamma, t); \text{return } (\neg f)
\end{array} \right.
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
& \quad \text{if } d \in \{ \forall D, \exists D \} \\
& \quad \text{then } \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
& f \leftarrow \text{termToFormula}(\Gamma, t) \\
& x \leftarrow \text{freshVar}(\Gamma); \\
& \text{return } ((\forall/\exists) \ x . f)
\end{array} \right.
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
& \quad \text{else } \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
& a \leftarrow \text{termToFOLTerm}(\Gamma, t) \\
& \text{return } (\text{Predicate } d [a])
\end{array} \right.
\end{align*}
\]
Algorithm 0.5: `termToFormula(\(\Gamma :: Env, t :: Term\))`

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{case } t \\
\quad & \text{Def } d [t_1, t_2] \rightarrow \\
\quad & \begin{cases} 
\text{if } d \in \{\land, \lor, \Rightarrow, \Leftrightarrow\} & \\
& \begin{cases} 
  f_1 \leftarrow \text{termToFormula}(\Gamma, t_1) \\
  f_2 \leftarrow \text{termToFormula}(\Gamma, t_2) \\
  \text{return } (f_1 \ d \ f_2)
\end{cases} \\
\text{else} & \\
  a_1 \leftarrow \text{termToFOLTerm}(\Gamma, t_1) \\
  a_2 \leftarrow \text{termToFOLTerm}(\Gamma, t_2) \\
  \text{else return } (a_1 \equiv a_2) \\
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\quad & \text{Def } d [t_1, \ldots, t_n] \rightarrow \\
\quad & \begin{cases} 
  a_i \leftarrow \text{termToFormula}(\Gamma, t_i) \\
  \text{return } (\text{Predicate } d [a_1, \ldots, a_n]) \\
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

\text{others } \rightarrow \text{fail}
The translation algorithm (cont.)

**Algorithm 0.6:** $\text{termToFOLTerm}(\Gamma :: \text{Env}, t :: \text{Term})$

\[
\begin{aligned}
\text{case } t \\
\text{of }
\end{aligned}
\]

\[
\begin{aligned}
\text{Var } x & \rightarrow \begin{cases} 
\text{if } x \in \Gamma \\
\text{then return } (\text{FOLVar } x) \\
\text{else fail}
\end{cases} \\
\text{Con } c \ [t_1, \ldots, t_n] \text{ or Def } d \ [t_1, \ldots, t_n] & \rightarrow \text{appArgs}(\Gamma, c/d, [t_1, \ldots, t_n]) \\
\text{others} & \rightarrow \text{fail}
\end{aligned}
\]

where

\[
\text{appArgs} :: \text{Env} \rightarrow \text{Name} \rightarrow [\text{Term}] \rightarrow \text{FOLTerm}
\]
Implementation

Modification of the development version of Agda:

- Obvious modifications (lexer, parser, errors, etc.)
- To change the Agda internal signature
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The external tool agda2atp:

- Agda has a lot features (implicit arguments, $\eta$-conversion rules, where clauses, etc.)
- Using Agda as an Haskell library (Agda has not a stable API)
- Source: Agda interface files (*.agdai)
- Target: TPTP
- ATPs supported: Equinox, Eprover and Metis
Related work

External, internal or mix approach
Related work

External, internal or mix approach

- Andreas Abel, Thierry Coquand and Ulf Norell (2005)
  FOL plug-in to the Gandalf system for a previous and experimental version of Agda called AgdaLight (external approach)

- Makoto Takeyama (2009)
  Integration of Agda with external tools using Agda capability to generate an executable Haskell program (mix approach)

  Combination of automated and interactive theorem proving using a built-in pragma (mix approach)