Verification of Functional Programs Preliminary Concepts

Andrés Sicard-Ramírez

EAFIT University

Semester 2014-1

• A type is a set of values (and operations on them).

- A type is a set of values (and operations on them).
- Types as ranges of significance of propositional functions. Let $\varphi(x)$ be a (unary) propositional function. The type of $\varphi(x)$ is the range within which x must lie if $\varphi(x)$ is to be a proposition [Russell (1903) 1938, Appendix B: The Doctrine of Types].

In modern terminology, Rusell's types are domains of propositional functions.

- A type is a set of values (and operations on them).
- Types as ranges of significance of propositional functions. Let $\varphi(x)$ be a (unary) propositional function. The type of $\varphi(x)$ is the range within which x must lie if $\varphi(x)$ is to be a proposition [Russell (1903) 1938, Appendix B: The Doctrine of Types].

In modern terminology, Rusell's types are domains of propositional functions.

Example

Let $\varphi(x)$ be the propositional function 'x is a prime number'. Then $\varphi(x)$ is a proposition only when its argument is a natural number.

$$\label{eq:phi} \begin{split} \varphi &: \mathbb{N} \to \{ \text{False}, \text{True} \} \\ \varphi(x) &= x \text{ is a prime number}. \end{split}$$

• 'A type is an approximation of a dynamic behaviour that can be derived from the form of an expression.' [Kiselyov and Shan 2008, p. 8]

- 'A type is an approximation of a dynamic behaviour that can be derived from the form of an expression.' [Kiselyov and Shan 2008, p. 8]
- The propositions-as-types principle (Curry-Howard correspondence)

- 'A type is an approximation of a dynamic behaviour that can be derived from the form of an expression.' [Kiselyov and Shan 2008, p. 8]
- The propositions-as-types principle (Curry-Howard correspondence)
- Homotopy Type Theory (HTT)

Propositions are types, but not all types are propositions (e.g. higher-order inductive types)

Example (some Haskell's types)

- Type variables: a, b
- Type constants: Int, Integer, Char
- Function types: Int \rightarrow Bool, (Char \rightarrow Int) \rightarrow Integer
- Product types: (Int, Char), (a, b)
- Disjoint union types:

data Sum a b = Inl a | Inr b

Type Systems

• Over-sized slogan:

'Well-type programs cannot "go wrong".' [Milner 1978, p. 348]

• Over-sized slogan:

'Well-type programs cannot "go wrong".' [Milner 1978, p. 348]

• 'A type system is a tractable syntactic method for proving the absence of certain program behaviors by classifying phrases according to the kinds of values they compute.' [Pierce 2002, p. 1]

Referential Transparency

'We use [referential transparency] to refer to the fact of mathematics which says: The only thing that matters about an expression is its value, and any subexpression can be replaced by any other equal in value.' [Stoy 1977, p. 5].

Referential Transparency

'We use [referential transparency] to refer to the fact of mathematics which says: The only thing that matters about an expression is its value, and any subexpression can be replaced by any other equal in value.' [Stoy 1977, p. 5].

'A language that supports the concept that "equals can be substituted for equals" in an expression without changing the value of the expression is said to be *referentially transparent*.' [Abelson and Sussman 1996, p. 233].

```
The following C program prints hello, world twice.
```

```
#include <stdio.h>
int
main (void)
{
    printf ("hello, world");
    printf ("hello, world");
    return 0;
}
```

```
The following C program prints hello, world once.
```

```
#include <stdio.h>
int
main (void)
{
  int x;
  x = printf ("hello, world");
  X; X;
  return 0;
}
```

The following Haskell program prints hello, world twice.

```
main :: IO ()
main = putStr "hello, world" >> putStr "hello, world"
```

Referential Transparency

In Haskell, given

let x = exp **in** ... x ... x ...

the meaning of ... x ... x ... is the same as ... exp ... exp ...

Referential Transparency

In Haskell, given

let x = exp **in** ... x ... x ...

the meaning of ... x ... x ... is the same as ... exp ... exp ...

Example

The following Haskell program prints hello, world twice.

The following Haskell program prints hello, world twice.

Side effects

'A side effect introduces a dependency between the global state of the system and the behaviour of a function... Side effects are essentially invisible inputs to, or outputs from, functions.' [O'Sullivan, Goerzen and Stewart 2008, p. 27].

Side effects

'A side effect introduces a dependency between the global state of the system and the behaviour of a function... Side effects are essentially invisible inputs to, or outputs from, functions.' [O'Sullivan, Goerzen and Stewart 2008, p. 27].

Pure functions

'Take all their input as explicit arguments, and produce all their output as explicit results.' [Hutton 2007, p. 87].

Pure Functions

Are the following GHC 7.8.2 functions, pure functions?

maxBound :: Int -- Prelude

os :: String -- System.Info

^{*}From: https://wiki.haskell.org/Referential_transparency, 2014-02-25.

Pure Functions

Are the following GHC 7.8.2 functions, pure functions?

maxBound :: Int -- Prelude
os :: String -- System.Info

'One perspective is that Haskell is not just one language (plus Prelude), but a family of languages, parametrized by a collection of implementation-dependent parameters. Each such language is RT, even if the collection as a whole might not be. Some people are satisfied with situation and others are not.' *

^{*}From: https://wiki.haskell.org/Referential_transparency, 2014-02-25.

Functions are First-Class Citizens

Source: Abelson and Sussman [1996]

- They can be passed as arguments and they can be returned as results (higher-order functions)
- They can be assigned to variables
- They can be stored in data structures

Working with functions how handle undefined values yielded by partial functions or non-terminating functions?

Example

```
head :: [a] \rightarrow a
head (x : _) = x
head [] = ?
```

Working with functions how handle undefined values yielded by partial functions or non-terminating functions?

Example

```
head :: [a] \rightarrow a
head (x : _) = x
head [] = ?
```

Example

```
fst :: (a, b) → a
fst (x, _) = x
ones :: [Int]
ones = 1 : ones
fst (ones, 10) = ?
```

The \perp symbol represents the undefined value. (\perp is represented in Haskell by the **undefined** keyword)

Example (first version)

head [] = undefined
fst (ones, 10) = undefined

*See 'Hussling Haskell types into Hasse diagrams' from Edward Z. Yang's blog on December 6, 2010.

The \perp symbol represents the undefined value. (\perp is represented in Haskell by the **undefined** keyword)

Example (first version)

head [] = undefined
fst (ones, 10) = undefined

Remark

The \perp value is polymorphic in Haskell.

Remark

The Haskell types are lifted types.*

^{*}See 'Hussling Haskell types into Hasse diagrams' from Edward Z. Yang's blog on December 6, 2010.

Example (second version)

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{head} \ [] = \bot_{\mathsf{a}} \\ \mathsf{fst} \ (\mathsf{ones}, 10) = \bot_{[\mathsf{Int}]} \end{array}$

Therefore, head [] \neq fst (ones, 10).

```
Example

foo :: Int \rightarrow Int

foo 0 = 0

bar :: Int \rightarrow Int

bar n = bar (n + 1)

foobar :: Int \rightarrow Int

foobar n = if foo n == 0 then 1 else 2
```

```
Example

foo :: Int \rightarrow Int

foo 0 = 0

bar :: Int \rightarrow Int

bar n = bar (n + 1)

foobar :: Int \rightarrow Int

foobar n = if foo n == 0 then 1 else 2
```

Can we replace foo by bar in foobar?

```
Example

foo :: Int \rightarrow Int

foo 0 = 0

bar :: Int \rightarrow Int

bar n = bar (n + 1)

foobar :: Int \rightarrow Int

foobar n = if foo n == 0 then 1 else 2
```

Can we replace foo by bar in foobar? Only for $n \neq 0$.

Lazy Evaluation

See slides for the chapter 12 on the book by Hutton [2007]: http://www.cs.nott.ac.uk/~gmh/book.html.

Definition

Let f be a unary function. If $f \perp = \perp$ then f is a **strict** function, otherwise it is a **non-strict** function. The definition generalise to *n*-ary functions.

Example

The three function is non-strict.

```
three :: a \rightarrow Int

three _ = 3

three undefined = 3

three (head []) = 3

three (fst (ones, 10)) = 3

three (putStr "hello, world") = 3
```

```
three ∷ a → Int
three _ = 3
```

Non-strict reasoning...

 $(\forall x \in \mathsf{Int})(\forall y)(x + \mathsf{three}\ y = x + 3).$

(Why Haskell hasn't a predefined recursive data type for natural numbers?)

```
data Nat = Zero | Succ Nat
Zero :: Nat
Succ :: Nat → Nat
```

(Why Haskell hasn't a predefined recursive data type for natural numbers?)

```
data Nat = Zero | Succ Nat
Zero :: Nat
Succ :: Nat → Nat
Is Succ a non-strict function?
```

(Why Haskell hasn't a predefined recursive data type for natural numbers?)

```
data Nat = Zero | Succ Nat
Zero :: Nat
Succ :: Nat → Nat
```

Is Succ a non-strict function?

We can define

```
inf :: Nat
inf = Succ inf
```

Strict and Non-Strict Functions

Example (cont.)

Nat represents the lazy natural numbers, that is, Succ $\perp \neq \perp$ [Escardó 1993].



Definition

A partially ordered set (poset) (D, \sqsubseteq) is a set D on which the binary relation \sqsubseteq satisfies the following properties:

$$\begin{array}{c} \forall x. x \sqsubseteq x \qquad (\text{reflexive}) \\ \forall x \forall y \forall z. x \sqsubseteq y \land y \sqsubseteq z \Rightarrow x \sqsubseteq z \qquad (\text{transitive}) \\ \forall x \forall y. x \sqsubseteq y \land y \sqsubseteq x \Rightarrow x = y \qquad (\text{antisymmetry}) \end{array}$$

- $\bullet \ (\mathbb{Z},\leq) \text{ is a poset}.$
- Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a \neq 0$. The divisibility relation is defined by $a \mid b := \exists c \ (ac = b)$. Then (\mathbb{Z}^+, \mid) is a poset.
- $\bullet \ (P(A),\subseteq) \text{ is a poset}.$

Hasse diagram for the poset $(\{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12\}, |)$.



Hasse diagram for the poset $(\{a, b, c\}, \subseteq)$.



Definition

Let (D,\sqsubseteq) and (D',\sqsubseteq') be two posets. A function $f:D\to D'$ is monotone iff

 $\forall x \; \forall y. \; x \sqsubseteq y \Rightarrow f(x) \sqsubseteq' f(y).$

Some Concepts of Fixed-Point Theory

Let D be a set, (D, \sqsubseteq) be a poset and f be a function $f: D \to D$.

Definition

An element $d \in D$ is a **fixed-point** of f iff

f(d) = d.

Some Concepts of Fixed-Point Theory

Let D be a set, (D, \sqsubseteq) be a poset and f be a function $f: D \to D$.

Definition

An element $d \in D$ is a **fixed-point** of f iff

f(d)=d.

Definition

The least/greatest fixed-point of f is least/greatest among the fixed-points of f.

Some Concepts of Fixed-Point Theory

Let D be a set, (D, \sqsubseteq) be a poset and f be a function $f: D \to D$.

Definition

An element $d \in D$ is a **fixed-point** of f iff

$$f(d) = d.$$

Definition

The least/greatest fixed-point of f is least/greatest among the fixed-points of f.

That is, $d \in D$ is the least/greatest fixed-point of f iff:

$$\bullet \ f(d) = d \text{ and }$$

•
$$\forall x.f(x) = x \Rightarrow d \sqsubseteq x / \forall x.f(x) = x \Rightarrow x \sqsubseteq d.$$

Theorem

Let (D, \sqsubseteq) be a poset and $f : D \to D$ be monotone. Under certain conditions f has a least fixed-point [Winskel 1994] and a greatest fixed-point [Ésik 2009].

Theorem

Let (D, \sqsubseteq) be a poset and $f : D \to D$ be monotone. Under certain conditions f has a least fixed-point [Winskel 1994] and a greatest fixed-point [Ésik 2009].

Notation

The least and greatest fixed-points of f are denoted by $\mu x.f(x)$ and $\nu x.f(x)$, respectively.

Introduction to Domain Theory

Motivation: Does λ -calculus have models?



'Historically my first model for the λ -calculus was discovered in 1969 and details were provided in Scott [1972] (written in 1971).' [Scott 1980, p. 226.].

Non-standard definitions

pre-domain, domain, complete partial order (cpo), ω -cpo, bottomless ω -cpo, Scott's domain, ...

Convention

domain $\equiv \omega$ -complete partial order

ω -Complete Partial Orders

Definition

Let (D, \sqsubseteq) be a poset. A ω -chain of D is an increasing chain

$$d_0\sqsubseteq d_1\sqsubseteq \cdots \sqsubseteq d_n\sqsubseteq \cdots$$

where $d_i \in D$.

Definition

Let (D, \sqsubseteq) be a poset. The poset D is a ω -complete partial order (ω -cpo) iff [Plotkin 1992]:

- 1. There is a least element $\bot \in D$, that is, $\forall x. \bot \sqsubseteq x$. The element \bot is called *bottom*.
- 2. For every increasing ω -chain $d_0 \sqsubseteq d_1 \sqsubseteq \cdots \sqsubseteq d_n \sqsubseteq \cdots$, the least upper bound $\bigsqcup_{n \in \omega} d_n \in D$ exists.

Definition

Let A be a set. The symbol A_{\perp} denotes the ω -cpo whose elements $A \cup \{\perp\}$ are ordered by

$$x \sqsubseteq y$$
 iff $x = \bot$ or $x = y$.

The ω -cpo A_{\perp} is called A lifted [Mitchell 1996].

The lifted unit type and the lifted Booleans B_{\perp} are ω -cpos.



ω -Complete Partial Orders

Example

The lifted natural numbers N_{\perp} .



ω -Complete Partial Orders

Example

The lazy natural numbers ω -cpo.

data Nat = Zero | Succ Nat



$$\bigsqcup_{n \in \omega} \underline{n} = \bot \sqsubseteq \mathsf{Succ} \bot \sqsubseteq \mathsf{Succ} (\mathsf{Succ} \bot) \sqsubseteq \cdots$$

Definition

Let D be a w-cpo. A property P (a subset of D) is w-inductive (admissible) iff whenever $\langle x_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$ is an increasing sequence of elements in P, then $\bigsqcup_{n \in \omega} x_n$ is also in P, that is,

$$\forall n \in \omega. \, P(x_n) \Rightarrow P\left(\bigsqcup_{n \in \omega} x_n\right).$$

References

- Abelson, Harold and Sussman, Gerald Jay (1996). Structure and Interpretation of Computer Programs. 2nd ed. MIT Press (cit. on pp. 11, 12, 23).
- Escardó, Martín Hötzel (1993). On Lazy Natural Numbers with Applications to Computability Theory and Functional Programming. SIGACT News 24.1, pp. 61–67. DOI: 10.1145/152992.153008 (cit. on p. 38).
- Ésik, Zoltán (2009). Fixed Point Theory. In: Handbook of Weighted Automata. Ed. by Droste, Manfred, Kuich, Werner and Vogler, Heiko. Monographs in Theoretical Computer Science. An EATCS Series. Springer. Chap. 2 (cit. on pp. 47, 48).

Hutton, Graham (2007). Programming in Haskell. Cambridge University Press (cit. on pp. 19, 20, 32). Kiselyov, Oleg and Shan, Chung-chieh (2008). Interpreting Types as Abstract Values. Formosan Summer

School on Logic, Language and Computacion (FLOLAC 2008) (cit. on pp. 5–7).

Milner, Robin (1978). A Theory of Type Polymorphism in Programming. Journal of Computer and System Science 17, pp. 348–375 (cit. on pp. 9, 10).

Mitchell, John C. (1996). Foundations for Programming Languages. MIT Press (cit. on p. 53).

References

- O'Sullivan, Bryan, Goerzen, John and Stewart, Don (2008). Real World Haskell. O'Really Media, Inc. (cit. on pp. 19, 20).
- Pierce, Benjamin C. (2002). Types and Programming Languages. MIT Press (cit. on pp. 9, 10).
- Plotkin, Gordon (1992). Post-graduate Lecture Notes in Advance Domain Theory (Incorporating the "Pisa Notes"). Electronic edition prepared by Yugo Kashiwagi and Hidetaka Kondoh. URL: http: //homepages.inf.ed.ac.uk/gdp/ (visited on 29/07/2014) (cit. on p. 52).
- Russell, Bertrand [1903] (1938). The Principles of Mathematics. 2nd ed. W. W. Norton & Company, Inc (cit. on pp. 2–4).
- Scott, Dana (1972). Continuous Lattices. In: Toposes, Algebraic Geometry and Logic. Ed. by Lawvere, F. W. Vol. 274. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer, pp. 97–136. DOI: 10.1007/BFb0073967 (cit. on p. 49).
- (1980). Lambda Calculus: Some Models, Some Philosophy. In: The Kleene Symposium. Ed. by Barwise, Jon, Keisler, H. Jerome and Kunen, Kenneth. Vol. 101. Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics. North-Holland Publishing Company, pp. 223–265 (cit. on p. 49).
- Stoy, Joseph (1977). Denotational Semantics: The Scott-Strachey Approach to Programming Language Theory. MIT Press (cit. on pp. 11, 12).

References

Winskel, Glynn (1994). The Formal Semantics of Programming Languages. An Introduction. Second printing. MIT Press (cit. on pp. 47, 48).