Ordinals and Typed Lambda Calculus Lambda Calculus

Andrés Sicard-Ramírez

Universidad EAFIT

Semester 2018-2

Introduction

Alonzo Church (1903 - 1995)*







^{*}Figures sources: History of computers, Wikipedia and MacTutor History of Mathematics. Lambda Calculus

Introduction

Some remarks

- A formal system invented by Church around 1930s.
- The goal was to use the $\lambda\text{-calculus}$ in the foundation of mathematics.
- Intended for studying functions and recursion.
- Computability model.
- A free-type functional programming language.
- λ -notation (e.g. anonymous functions and currying).

Application, Abstraction and Curryfication

Application

Application of the function M to argument N is denoted by MN (juxtaposition).

Application

Application of the function M to argument N is denoted by MN (juxtaposition).

Abstraction

'If M is any formula containing the variable x, then $\lambda x[M]$ is a symbol for the function whose values are those given by the formula.' [Church 1932, p. 352]

Application

Application of the function M to argument N is denoted by MN (juxtaposition).

Abstraction

'If M is any formula containing the variable x, then $\lambda x[M]$ is a symbol for the function whose values are those given by the formula.' [Church 1932, p. 352]

Curryfication

'Adopting a device due to Schönfinkel, we treat a function of two variables as a function of one variable whose values are functions of one variable, and a function of three or more variables similarly.' [Church 1932, p. 352]

Definition

Let V be a denumerable set of variables. The set of λ -terms, denoted by Λ , is inductively defined by

$$\begin{aligned} x \in V \Rightarrow x \in \Lambda \\ M, N \in \Lambda \Rightarrow (M N) \in \Lambda \\ M \in \Lambda, x \in V \Rightarrow (\lambda x.M) \in \Lambda \end{aligned}$$

(variable) (application) (λ -abstraction)

Remark

Usually, the set of $\lambda\text{-terms }\Lambda$ is defined by the abstract grammar*

$\Lambda \ni t ::= x$	(variable)
$\mid t \; t$	(application)
$\lambda x.t$	$(\lambda$ -abstraction)

*See, e.g. [Pierce 2002].

Notation

The symbol ' \equiv ' denotes the syntactic identity.

Conventions

- λ -term variables will be denoted by x, y, z, \ldots
- λ -terms will be denoted by M, N, P, Q, \ldots

Conventions and syntactic sugar

- Outermost parentheses are not written.
- Application has higher precedence, that is,

$$\lambda \, x. \, M \, N \coloneqq (\lambda \, x. \, (M \, N)).$$

• Application associates to the left, that is,

$$M N_1 N_2 \dots N_k \coloneqq (\dots ((M N_1) N_2) \dots N_k).$$

• Lambda abstraction associates to the right, that is,

$$\lambda x_1 x_2 \dots x_n. M \coloneqq \lambda x_1. \lambda x_2 \dots \lambda x_n. M$$
$$\coloneqq (\lambda x_1. (\lambda x_2. (\dots (\lambda x_n. M) \dots))).$$

Example

Using the conventions and syntactic sugar.

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda x y z. x z (y z)) u v w \\ &\equiv (\lambda x y z. (x z) (y z)) u v w \\ &\equiv ((\lambda x y z. (x z) (y z)) u) v w \\ &\equiv (((\lambda x y z. (x z) (y z)) u) v) w \\ &\equiv (((\lambda x y z. ((x z) (y z))) u) v) w \\ &\equiv (((\lambda x. \lambda y. \lambda z. ((x z) (y z))) u) v) w \\ &\equiv (((\lambda x. \lambda y. (\lambda z. ((x z) (y z)))) u) v) w \\ &\equiv (((\lambda x. (\lambda y. (\lambda z. ((x z) (y z)))) u) v) w \\ &\equiv ((((\lambda x. (\lambda y. (\lambda z. ((x z) (y z))))) u) v) w \end{aligned}$$

(left-associative application) (left-associative application) (left-associative application) (application higher precedence) (right-associative λ -abstraction) (right-associative λ -abstraction) (right-associative λ -abstraction) (remove outermost parentheses)

)

Binding

Definition

A variable x occurs free in M if x is not in the scope of λx . Otherwise, x occurs bound.

Definition

The set of free variables in M, denoted by FV(M), is inductively defined by

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{FV}(x) & \coloneqq \{x\}, \\ & \mathrm{FV}(M\,N) & \coloneqq \mathrm{FV}(M) \cup \mathrm{FV}(N), \\ & \mathrm{FV}(\lambda x.M) & \coloneqq \mathrm{FV}(M) - \{x\}. \end{aligned}$$

Substitution

Definition

The result of substituting N for every free occurrence of x in M, and changing bound variables to avoid clashes, denoted by $M[x \mapsto N]$, is defined by [Hindley and Seldin 2008, Definition 1.12]

$$\begin{split} x[x \mapsto N] &\coloneqq N; \\ y[x \mapsto N] &\coloneqq y, & \text{if } y \not\equiv x; \\ (PQ)[x \mapsto N] &\coloneqq P[x \mapsto N] Q[x \mapsto N]; \\ (\lambda x.P)[x \mapsto N] &\coloneqq \lambda x.P; \\ (\lambda y.P)[x \mapsto N] &\coloneqq \lambda y.P, & \text{if } y \not\equiv x \text{ and } x \not\in FV(P); \\ (\lambda y.P)[x \mapsto N] &\coloneqq \lambda y.P[x \mapsto N], & \text{if } y \not\equiv x, x \in FV(P) \text{ and } y \not\in FV(N); \\ (\lambda y.P)[x \mapsto N] &\coloneqq \lambda z.P[x \mapsto N][y \mapsto z], & \text{if } y \not\equiv x, x \in FV(P) \text{ and } y \in FV(N); \end{split}$$

where in the last equation, the variable z is chosen such that $z \notin FV(NP)$.

Substitution

Example

 $(y\,(\lambda\,v.\,x\,v))[\,x\mapsto(\lambda\,y.\,v\,y)\,]\equiv y\,(\lambda\,z.\,(\lambda\,y.\,v\,y)\,z) \text{ (with } z\not\equiv v,y,x\text{)}.$

Conversion Rules

Introduction

The functional behaviour of the λ -calculus is formalised through of their conversion rules:

$$\lambda x.N =_{\alpha} \lambda y.(N[x \mapsto y]) \qquad (\alpha \text{-conversion})$$
$$(\lambda x.M) N =_{\beta} M[x \mapsto N] \qquad (\beta \text{-conversion})$$
$$\lambda x.M x =_{\eta} M \qquad (\eta \text{-conversion})$$

Definition

A changed of bound variables in M is to replace a subterm $\lambda x.N$ of M by $\lambda y.(N[x \mapsto y])$ where y does not occur in N.

Definition

A λ -term M is α -congruent with N, denoted by $M \equiv_{\alpha} N$, iff N results from M by a finite (perhaps empty) series of changes of bound variables.

Example

Whiteboard.

Alpha Congruence

Theorem

The relation \equiv_{α} is an equivalence relation.*

Convention

Following Barendregt [(1981) 2004, Convention 2.1.12], we syntactically identified λ -terms that are α -congruent, that is,

 $M \equiv N \coloneqq M \equiv_{\alpha} N.$

^{*}See, e.g. [Hindley and Seldin 2008, Lemma 1.19b].

Compatible Relations

Definition

A binary relation R on Λ is **compatible** iff *

$$(M,N) \in R \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{cases} (P M, P N) \in R, \\ (M P, N P) \in R, \\ (\lambda x.M, \lambda x.N) \in R. \end{cases}$$

^{*}See, e.g. [Barendregt (1981) 2004, Definition 3.1.1i].

Beta Reduction

Definition

The binary relation β on Λ is defined by

$$\beta \coloneqq \{ ((\lambda x.M) N, M[x \mapsto N]) \mid M, N \in \Lambda \}.$$

Beta Reduction

Definition

The binary relation one step β -reduction on Λ , denoted by \rightarrow_{β} , is the compatible closure of β .

The \rightarrow_{β} relation can be inductively defined by*

$$\frac{(M,N) \in \beta}{M \to_{\beta} N}$$

$$\frac{M \to_{\beta} N}{P M \to_{\beta} P N} \qquad \frac{M \to_{\beta} N}{M P \to_{\beta} N P} \qquad \frac{M \to_{\beta} N}{\lambda x.M \to_{\beta} \lambda x.N}$$

*See, e.g. [Barendregt (1981) 2004, Definition 3.1.5].

Beta Reduction

Definition

The binary relation β -reduction on Λ , denoted by $\twoheadrightarrow_{\beta}$, is the reflexive and transitive closure of \rightarrow_{β} .

The \twoheadrightarrow_β relation can be inductively defined by*

$$\frac{M \to_{\beta} N}{M \twoheadrightarrow_{\beta} N}$$

$$\frac{M \twoheadrightarrow_{\beta} N}{M \to_{\beta} P}$$

*See, e.g. [Barendregt (1981) 2004, Definition 3.1.5].

Definition

The binary relation β -equality (or β -convertibility) on Λ , denoted by $=_{\beta}$, is the equivalence relation generated by $\twoheadrightarrow_{\beta}$.

The $=_{\beta}$ relation can be inductively defined by*

$$\frac{M \twoheadrightarrow_{\beta} N}{M =_{\beta} N}$$

$$\frac{M =_{\beta} N}{N =_{\beta} M} \qquad \frac{M =_{\beta} N}{M =_{\beta} P}$$

*See, e.g. [Barendregt (1981) 2004, Definition 3.1.5].

Normal Forms

Definition

A β -redex is a λ -term of the form $(\lambda x.M) N$.

Definition

A λ -term which contains no β -redex is in β -normal form (β -nf).

Definition

A λ -term N is a β -nf of M (or M has the β -nf M) iff N is a β -nf and $M =_{\beta} N$.

Example

Whiteboard.

Normal Forms

Remark

Church [1935, 1936] proved that the set

```
\{\,M\in\Lambda\mid M\text{ has a }\beta\text{-normal form}\,\}
```

is not computable* (i.e. undecidable). This was the first undecidable set ever.^{\dagger}

*We use the term 'computable' rather than 'recursive' following to [Soare 1996]. [†]See also [Barendregt 1990].

Combinators

Definition

A combinator (or closed λ -term) is a λ -term without free variables.

Convention

A combinator called for example pred will be denoted by pred.

Combinators

Example

Some common combinators.

$$B := \lambda f g x. f (g x)$$

$$B' := \lambda f g x. g (f x)$$

$$C := \lambda x y z. x z y$$

$$I := \lambda x.x$$

$$K := \lambda x y. x$$

$$M := \lambda x. x x$$

$$S := \lambda f g x. f x (g x)$$

$$T := \lambda x y. y x$$

$$V := \lambda x y z. z y x$$

$$W := \lambda f x. f x x$$

(a composition combinator) (a reversed composition combinator) (a permuting combinator) (an identity combinator) (a projection combinator) (a doubling combinator) (a stronger composition combinator) (a permuting combinator) (a permuting combinator) (a doubling combinator)

Combinators

Remark

The programs in a programming language based on λ -calculus are combinators.

Remark

The combinators K and S (i.e. the combinatory logic) are a Turing-complete language.

Fixed-Point Combinators

Definition

A fixed-point combinator is any combinator fix such that for all terms M,

 $\operatorname{fix} M =_{\beta} M \operatorname{(fix} M).$

Theorem

The combinator $\mathbf{Y} \coloneqq \lambda f. VV$, where $V \equiv \lambda x. f(x x)$, is a fixed-point combinator.*

Theorem

The combinator $\bigcup \bigcup$, where $\bigcup := \lambda u x \cdot x (u u x)$, is a fixed-point combinator.[†]

*According to [Hindley and Seldin 2008, p. 36], this combinator was hinted by Curry in 1929 and first published by Rosenbloom [1950]. See also [Barendregt (1981) 2004, Corollary 6.1.3].

[†]Defined by Turing [1937]. See, also [Barendregt (1981) 2004, Definition 6.1.4].

Recursion Using Fixed-Points

Example

An informal example using the factorial function [Peyton Jones 1987, § 2.4.1].

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathsf{fac} \coloneqq \lambda \, n. \, \mathsf{if} \, (n == 0) \, \mathsf{then} \, 1 \, \mathsf{else} \, n \ast \mathsf{fac} \, (n - 1) & (\mathsf{combinator}) \\ & \equiv \lambda \, n. \, (\dots \, \mathsf{fac} \dots) & (\mathsf{recursive \ combinator}) \\ & \equiv (\lambda \, f. \, \lambda \, n. \, (\dots \, f \dots)) \, \mathsf{fac} & (\lambda \, \mathsf{-abstraction \ on \ fac}) \end{aligned}$$

Recursion Using Fixed-Points

Example

An informal example using the factorial function [Peyton Jones 1987, § 2.4.1].

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathsf{fac} \coloneqq \lambda \, n. \, \mathsf{if} \, (n == 0) \, \mathsf{then} \, 1 \, \mathsf{else} \, n * \mathsf{fac} \, (n - 1) & (\mathsf{combinator}) \\ & \equiv \lambda \, n. \, (\dots \, \mathsf{fac} \dots) & (\mathsf{recursive \ combinator}) \\ & \equiv (\lambda \, f. \, \lambda \, n. \, (\dots \, f \dots)) \, \mathsf{fac} & (\lambda \, \mathsf{-abstraction \ on \ fac}) \end{aligned}$$

Now, we can redefine the factorial function using fix.

 $\mathbf{h} \coloneqq \lambda f. \lambda n. (\dots f \dots) \qquad (\text{non-recursive combinator})$

fac := fix h (fac is a fixed-point of h)

(continued on next slide)

Example (continuation)

 $fac 1 \equiv fix h 1$ $=_{\beta} h (fix h) 1$ $\equiv (\lambda f. \lambda n. (\dots f.\dots))$ (fix h) 1 \rightarrow_{β} if (1 == 0) then 1 else 1 * (fix h 0) $\rightarrow _{\beta} 1 * (fix h 0)$ $=_{\beta} 1 * (h(\text{fix h}) 0)$ $\equiv 1 * ((\lambda f, \lambda n, (\dots f, \dots))) (fix h) 0)$ $\twoheadrightarrow_{\beta} 1 * (if (0 == 0) then 1 else 1 * (fix h (-1)))$ $\twoheadrightarrow_{\beta} 1 * 1$ $\twoheadrightarrow_{\beta} 1$

References

- Barendregt, H. P. [1981] (2004). The Lambda Calculus. Its Syntax and Semantics. Revised edition, 6th impression. Vol. 103. Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics. Elsevier (cit. on pp. 17, 18, 20–22, 28).
- Barendregt, Henk (1990). Functional Programming and Lambda Calculus. In: Handbook of Theoretical Computer Science. Ed. by van Leeuwen, J. Vol. B. Formal Models and Semantics. MIT Press. Chap. 7. DOI: 10.1016/B978-0-444-88074-1.50012-3 (cit. on p. 24).
- Church, Alonzo (1932). A Set of Postulates for the Foundation of Logic. Annals of Mathematics 33.2, pp. 346–366. DOI: 10.2307/1968337 (cit. on pp. 4–6).
 - (1935). An Unsolvable Problem of Elementary Number Theory. Preliminar Report (Abstract).
 Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society 41.5, pp. 332–333. DOI: 10.1090/S0002-9904-1935-06102-6 (cit. on p. 24).
 - (1936). An Unsolvable Problem of Elementary Number Theory. American Journal of Mathematics 58.2, pp. 345–363. DOI: 10.2307/2371045 (cit. on p. 24).
 - Hindley, J. Roger and Seldin, Jonathan P. (2008). Lambda-Calculus and Combinators. An Introduction. Cambridge University Press (cit. on pp. 13, 17, 28).

References

- Peyton Jones, Simon L. (1987). The Implementation of Functional Programming Languages. Series in Computer Sciences. Prentice-Hall International (cit. on pp. 29, 30).
- Pierce, Benjamin C. (2002). Types and Programming Languages. MIT Press (cit. on p. 8).
- Rosenbloom, Paul C. (1950). The Elements of Mathematical Logic. Dover Publications (cit. on p. 28).
- Soare, Robert I. (1996). Computability and Recursion. The Bulletin of Symbolic Logic 2.3, pp. 284–321. DOI: 10.2307/420992 (cit. on p. 24).
- Turing, A. M. (1937). The p-Function in λ -K-Conversion. The Journal of Symbolic Logic 4.2, p. 164. DOI: 10.2307/2268281 (cit. on p. 28).