CM0081 Automata and Formal Languages § 2.2 Deterministic Finite Automata

Andrés Sicard-Ramírez

Universidad EAFIT

Semester 2024-1

### Preliminaries

#### Conventions

- The number and page numbers assigned to chapters, examples, exercises, figures, quotes, sections and theorems on these slides correspond to the numbers assigned in the textbook [Hopcroft, Motwani and Ullman 2007].
- The natural numbers include the zero, that is,  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$ .

The power set of a set A, that is, the set of its subsets, is denoted by  $\mathcal{P}A$ .

## Formal Languages: Origins

#### Source areas [Greibach 1981, p. 14]

- Logic and recursive-function theory
- Switching circuit theory and logic design
- Modelling of biological systems (brain activity)
- Mathematical and computation linguistics
- Computer programming and the design of ALGOL and other problem-oriented languages

#### Finite Automata

Example (Modeling an on/off switch)



#### Finite Automata

Example (Modeling an on/off switch)



Example (Recognising the word 'then')



### The Wolf, the Goat and the Cabbage Problem

'A man with a wolf, goat, and cabbage is on the left bank of a river. There is a boat large enough to carry the man and only one of the other three. The man and his entourage wish to cross to the right bank, and the man can ferry each across, one at a time. However, if the man leaves the wolf and goat unattended on either shore, the wolf will surely eat the goat. Similarly, if the goat and cabbage are left unattended, the goat will eat the cabbage. Is it possible to cross the river without the goat or cabbage being eaten?' [Hopcroft and Ullman 1979, p. 14] <sup>†</sup>



Finite Automata

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>The illustration is from the cover of [Levitin 2003].

#### The Wolf, the Goat and the Cabbage Problem



Finite Automata

## The Wolf, the Goat and the Cabbage Problem

#### Solution

In the previous automaton we can see two solutions:  $^{\dagger}$ 

(i) ¬¬, 𝔅, 𝔅, ¬¬, 𝔅, ¬, 𝔅, ¬.
(ii) ¬¬, 𝔅, 𝔅, 𝔅, ¬, ¬, 𝔅, 𝔅, ¬.

#### Finite Automata

#### Description

'The **finite automaton** is a mathematical model of a system, with **discrete** inputs and outputs. The system can be in any one of a finite number of internal configurations or "states". The state of the system summarizes the information concerning past inputs that is needed to determine the behaviour the system on subsequent inputs.' [Hopcroft and Ullman 1979, p. 13]

#### Definition

A deterministic finite automaton (DFA) is a 5-tuple

 $(Q,\Sigma,\delta,q_0,F),$ 

where

- (i) Q is the finite set of states,
- (ii)  $\Sigma$  is the alphabet of input symbols,
- (iii)  $\delta: Q \times \Sigma \to Q$  is the transition function,
- (iv)  $q_0 \in Q$  is the start state,
- (v)  $F \subseteq Q$  is the set of accepting (or final) states.

### **DFA** Representations

DFAs can be represented of various equivalent ways:

- (i) Transition diagram
- (ii) Transition table
- (iii) Detailed description

Let  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$ . The following transition diagram represents a DFA that accepts the language  $L = \{x01y \in \Sigma^* \mid x, y \in \Sigma^* \}$ .

Let  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$ . The following transition diagram represents a DFA that accepts the language  $L = \{x01y \in \Sigma^* \mid x, y \in \Sigma^* \}$ .



q<sub>0</sub>: The automaton has never seen 01, but its last input was either nonexistent or it last saw a 1.

- $\blacktriangleright$   $q_1$ : The automaton has never seen 01, but its most recent input was 0.
- $\blacktriangleright$   $q_2$ : The automaton has already seen 01.

Let  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$ . The following transition diagram represents a DFA that accepts the language  $L = \{x01y \in \Sigma^* \mid x, y \in \Sigma^* \}$ .



q<sub>0</sub>: The automaton has never seen 01, but its last input was either nonexistent or it last saw a 1.

- $\blacktriangleright$   $q_1$ : The automaton has never seen 01, but its most recent input was 0.
- $\blacktriangleright$   $q_2$ : The automaton has already seen 01.

Processing the input 0101:  $\delta(q_0, 0) = \dots$ 

#### Deterministic Finite Automata

### Transition Tables and Detailed Descriptions

#### Example

#### $(i) \ \ Transition \ \ diagram$



(ii) Transition table

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} & 0 & 1 \\ \hline \rightarrow q_0 & q_1 & q_0 \\ q_1 & q_1 & q_2 \\ *q_2 & q_2 & q_2 \end{array}$$

(i) Transition diagram



(ii) Transition table

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} 0 & 1 \\ \hline \rightarrow q_0 & q_1 & q_0 \\ q_1 & q_1 & q_2 \\ *q_2 & q_2 & q_2 \end{array}$$

(iii) Detailed description

$$\begin{split} Q &= \{q_0, q_1, q_2\}, \\ \Sigma &= \{0, 1\}, \\ \delta(q_0, 0) &= \delta(q_1, 0) = q_1, \\ \delta(q_0, 1) &= q_0, \\ \delta(q_1, 1) &= \delta(q_2, 0) = \delta(q_2, 1) = q_2, \\ q_0 \text{ start state}, \end{split}$$

 $F=\{q_2\}.$ 

Definition

Let  $D = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$  be a DFA. The extension of the transition function, denoted by  $\hat{\delta}$ , is recursively defined by

$$\begin{split} \hat{\delta} &: Q \times \Sigma^* \to Q \\ \hat{\delta}(q,\varepsilon) &= q, \\ \hat{\delta}(q,xa) &= \delta(\hat{\delta}(q,x),a). \end{split}$$

Example





Exercise 2.2.2 Prove that  $\hat{\delta}(q, xy) = \hat{\delta}(\hat{\delta}(q, x), y)$  for any state q and strings x and y. (Hint: Perform induction on y).

Exercise 2.2.2 Prove that  $\hat{\delta}(q, xy) = \hat{\delta}(\hat{\delta}(q, x), y)$  for any state q and strings x and y. (Hint: Perform induction on y).

Proof by induction on y

Basis step  $(y = \varepsilon)$ 

$$\begin{split} \hat{\delta}(\hat{\delta}(q,x),\varepsilon) &= \hat{\delta}(q,x) \\ &= \hat{\delta}(q,x\varepsilon) \end{split}$$

(def. of  $\hat{\delta}$ ) (def. of concatenation)

#### Proof (continuation)

lnductive step (y = wa)

$$\begin{split} \hat{\delta}(q, x \cdot wa) &= \delta(\hat{\delta}(q, xw), a) \\ &= \delta(\hat{\delta}(\hat{\delta}(q, x), w), a) \\ &= \hat{\delta}(\hat{\delta}(q, x), wa) \end{split}$$

(def. of  $\hat{\delta}$  and concatenation) (IH) (def. of  $\hat{\delta}$ )

Exercise 2.2.7

Let D be a DFA and q a particular state of D, such that  $\delta(q, a) = q$  for all input symbols a. Show by induction on the input that for all input strings w,  $\hat{\delta}(q, w) = q$ .

Exercise 2.2.7

Let D be a DFA and q a particular state of D, such that  $\delta(q, a) = q$  for all input symbols a. Show by induction on the input that for all input strings w,  $\hat{\delta}(q, w) = q$ .

Proof by induction on w

**b** Basis step  $(w = \varepsilon)$ 

$$\hat{\delta}(q,\varepsilon) = q \qquad \qquad ({\rm def.} \ {\rm of} \ \hat{\delta})$$

#### Exercise 2.2.7

Let D be a DFA and q a particular state of D, such that  $\delta(q, a) = q$  for all input symbols a. Show by induction on the input that for all input strings w,  $\hat{\delta}(q, w) = q$ .

#### Proof by induction on w

Basis step 
$$(w = \varepsilon)$$

$$\widehat{\delta}(q, \varepsilon) = q$$
 (def. of  $\widehat{\delta}$ )

• Inductive step 
$$(w = xa)$$

$$\begin{split} \hat{\delta}(q,xa) &= \delta(\hat{\delta}(q,x),a) & (\text{def. of } \hat{\delta}) \\ &= \delta(q,a) & (\text{IH}) \\ &= q & (\text{hypothesis}) \end{split}$$

### Languages accepted by DFAs

Definitions

Let  $D=(Q,\Sigma,\delta,q_0,F)$  be a DFA and let  $w\in\Sigma^*$  be a string.

(i) The string w is accepted by D iff  $\hat{\delta}(q_0, w) \in F$ .

### Languages accepted by DFAs

Definitions

Let  $D=(Q,\Sigma,\delta,q_0,F)$  be a DFA and let  $w\in\Sigma^*$  be a string.

- (i) The string w is accepted by D iff  $\hat{\delta}(q_0, w) \in F$ .
- (ii) The string w is **rejected** by D iff  $\hat{\delta}(q_0, w) \notin F$ .

### Languages accepted by DFAs

Definitions

Let  $D=(Q,\Sigma,\delta,q_0,F)$  be a DFA and let  $w\in\Sigma^*$  be a string.

- (i) The string w is accepted by D iff  $\hat{\delta}(q_0, w) \in F$ .
- (ii) The string w is **rejected** by D iff  $\hat{\delta}(q_0, w) \notin F$ .

(iii) The language accepted by D, denoted L(D), is the set of strings accepted by D, that is,

 $\mathcal{L}(D) \coloneqq \Big\{\, w \in \Sigma^* \; \Big| \; \widehat{\delta}(q_0,w) \in F \, \Big\}.$ 

## **Regular Languages**

Definition

A language L is **regular** iff exists a DFA D such that L = L(D).

Let L be the set of words with both an even number of 0's and an even number of 1's. L is a regular language.

Let L be the set of words with both an even number of 0's and an even number of 1's. L is a regular language.

- q<sub>0</sub>: Both the number of 0's seen so far and the number of 1's seen so far are even.
- ▶ q<sub>1</sub>: The number of 0's seen so far is even, but the number of 1's seen so far is odd.
- ▶ q<sub>2</sub>: The number of 1's seen so far is even, but the number of 0's seen so far is odd.
- ▶ q<sub>3</sub>: Both the number of 0's seen so far and the number of 1's seen so far are odd.



### **Regular Languages**

#### Question

Let  $\Sigma$  be an alphabet. Is  $\emptyset$  a regular language?

### **Regular Languages**

Question

Let  $\Sigma$  be an alphabet. Is  $\emptyset$  a regular language? What about  $\Sigma^*?$ 

### Representation of DFAs

Functional Program Representation (Adapted from [Keller 2001])

Each state of the automaton is identified with a function from  $\Sigma^*$  to a truth value.

The initial state is identified with the overall function of the automaton.

### Representation of DFAs

# Functional Program Representation (Adapted from [Keller 2001])

- Each state of the automaton is identified with a function from  $\Sigma^*$  to a truth value.
  - The initial state is identified with the overall function of the automaton.

#### Example

See the implementation for the representation functional of a DFA in the course homepage.

#### References

- Greibach, S. A. (1981). Formal Languages: Origins and Directions. Annals of History of Computing 3.1, pp. 14–41. DOI: 10.1109/MAHC.1981.10006 (cit. on p. 3).
  - Hopcroft, J. E., Motwani, R. and Ullman, J. D. [1979] (2007). Introduction to Automata Theory, Languages, and Computation. 3rd ed. Pearson Education (cit. on p. 2).
  - Hopcroft, J. E. and Ullman, J. D. (1979). Introduction to Automata Theory, Languages, and Computation. Addison-Wesley (cit. on pp. 6, 9).
- Keller, R. M. (2001). Computer Science: Abstraction to Implementation. URL: www.cs. hmc.edu/~keller/cs60book/ (visited on 07/02/2018) (cit. on pp. 33, 34).
  - Levitin, A. (2003). Introduction to the Design and Analysis of Algorithms. Addison Wesley (cit. on p. 6).