

# CM0081 Automata and Formal Languages

## Introduction to AGDA

Andrés Sicard-Ramírez

Universidad EAFIT

Semester 2024-1

# Propositions-as-Types Principle

---

## Three correspondence's levels

Wadler [2015] introduces correspondence's levels by:

(i) Propositions-as-types

For each proposition in the logic there is a corresponding type in the programming language—and vice versa.

# Propositions-as-Types Principle

---

## Three correspondence's levels

Wadler [2015] introduces correspondence's levels by:

(i) Propositions-as-types

For each proposition in the logic there is a corresponding type in the programming language—and vice versa.

(ii) Proofs-as-programs

For each proof of a given proposition, there is a program of the corresponding type—and vice versa.

# Propositions-as-Types Principle

---

## Three correspondence's levels

Wadler [2015] introduces correspondence's levels by:

(i) Propositions-as-types

For each proposition in the logic there is a corresponding type in the programming language—and vice versa.

(ii) Proofs-as-programs

For each proof of a given proposition, there is a program of the corresponding type—and vice versa.

(iii) Simplification of proofs as evaluation of programs

For each way to simplify a proof there is a corresponding way to evaluate a program—and vice versa.

# Propositions-as-Types Principle

---

## Other names

- ▶ The Curry-Howard correspondence/isomorphism

---

†[Sørensen and Urzyczyn 2006, p. viii].

# Propositions-as-Types Principle

---

## Other names

- ▶ The Curry-Howard correspondence/isomorphism
- ▶ The Brouwer - Heyting - Kolmogorov - Schönfinkel - Curry - Meredith - Kleene - Feys - Gödel - Läuchli - Kreisel - Tait - Lawvere - Howard - de Bruijn - Scott - Martin-Löf - Girard - Reynolds - Stenlund - Constable - Coquand - Huet - ... - correspondence<sup>†</sup>

---

<sup>†</sup>[Sørensen and Urzyczyn 2006, p. viii].

# Natural Deduction (Conjunction and Implication)

---

## Preliminaries

- ▶ Propositions:  $A, B, C, \dots$
- ▶ Judgement:  $A$  true (assert, proposition  $A$  is true)
- ▶ Form of the inference rules

$$\frac{J_1 \quad \dots \quad J_n}{J} \text{ rule name}$$

where  $J$  (conclusion) and  $J_1, \dots, J_n$  (premises) are all judgements.

- ▶ Types of inference rules: Introduction rules and elimination rules

# Natural Deduction (Conjunction and Implication)

---

## Inference rules for conjunction

- ▶ Introduction rule (composing information)

$$\frac{A \text{ true} \quad B \text{ true}}{A \wedge B \text{ true}} \wedge I$$

- ▶ Elimination rules (retrieving/using information)

$$\frac{A \wedge B \text{ true}}{A \text{ true}} \wedge E_1$$

$$\frac{A \wedge B \text{ true}}{B \text{ true}} \wedge E_2$$



# Natural Deduction (Conjunction and Implication)

---

## Inference rules for implication

- ▶ Introduction rule (hypothetical judgement)

$$\frac{[A \text{ true}]^i \quad \vdots \quad B \text{ true}}{A \supset B \text{ true}} \supset I^i$$

- ▶ Elimination rule (modus ponens)

$$\frac{A \supset B \text{ true} \quad A \text{ true}}{B \text{ true}} \supset E$$

# Natural Deduction (Conjunction and Implication)

---

## Example

A proof that  $(A \wedge B) \supset (B \wedge A)$  true.

$$\frac{\frac{[A \wedge B \text{ true}]^i}{B \text{ true}} \wedge E_2 \quad \frac{[A \wedge B \text{ true}]^i}{A \text{ true}} \wedge E_1}{B \wedge A \text{ true}} \wedge I$$
$$\frac{B \wedge A \text{ true}}{(A \wedge B) \supset (B \wedge A) \text{ true}} \supset I^i$$

# Typed Lambda Calculus (Product and Function Types)

---

## ► Types

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma, \tau &::= \sigma \rightarrow \tau \\ &| \sigma \times \tau \end{aligned}$$

function type

product type

## ► $\lambda$ -terms

$$\begin{aligned} M, N &::= x \\ &| \lambda x. M \\ &| M N \\ &| \langle M, N \rangle | \text{fst } M | \text{snd } M \end{aligned}$$

variable

$\lambda$ -abstraction

application

pairs and projections

## ► Judgement: $M : \sigma$ ( $\lambda$ -term $M$ has type $\sigma$ )

# Typed Lambda Calculus (Product and Function Types)

---

Type assignment rules for product types

- ▶ Introduction rule (pair formation)

$$\frac{M : \sigma \quad N : \tau}{\langle M, N \rangle : \sigma \times \tau} \times I$$

- ▶ Elimination rules (pair projections)

$$\frac{M : \sigma \times \tau}{\text{fst } M : \sigma} \times E_1$$

$$\frac{M : \sigma \times \tau}{\text{snd } M : \tau} \times E_2$$

# Typed Lambda Calculus (Product and Function Types)

---

Type assignment rules for function types

- ▶ Introduction rule ( $\lambda$ -abstraction)

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} [x : \sigma]^i \\ \vdots \\ M : \tau \end{array}}{\lambda x. M : \sigma \rightarrow \tau} \rightarrow I^i$$

- ▶ Elimination rule (application)

$$\frac{M : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad N : \sigma}{M N : \tau} \rightarrow E$$

# Typed Lambda Calculus (Product and Function Types)

---

## Example

A proof that  $\lambda h. \langle \text{snd } h, \text{fst } h \rangle : (\sigma \times \tau) \rightarrow (\tau \times \sigma)$ .

$$\frac{\frac{[h : \sigma \times \tau]^i}{\text{snd } h : \tau} \times E_2 \quad \frac{[h : \sigma \times \tau]^i}{\text{fst } h : \sigma} \times E_1}{\langle \text{snd } h, \text{fst } h \rangle : \tau \times \sigma} \times I}{\lambda h. \langle \text{snd } h, \text{fst } h \rangle : (\sigma \times \tau) \rightarrow (\tau \times \sigma)} \rightarrow I^i$$

# Correspondence's Levels

---

## Example (propositions as types)

(implication)  $A \supset B$  as  $\sigma \rightarrow \tau$  (function type)

(conjunction)  $A \wedge B$  as  $\sigma \times \tau$  (product type)

# Correspondence's Levels

## Example (proofs as programs)

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{[A \wedge B \text{ true}]^i}{B \text{ true}} \wedge E_2 \quad \frac{[A \wedge B \text{ true}]^i}{A \text{ true}} \wedge E_1}{B \wedge A \text{ true}} \wedge I}{(A \wedge B) \supset (B \wedge A) \text{ true}} \supset I^i$$

Proof

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{[h : \sigma \times \tau]^i}{\text{snd } h : \tau} \times E_2 \quad \frac{[h : \sigma \times \tau]^i}{\text{fst } h : \sigma} \times E_1}{\langle \text{snd } h, \text{fst } h \rangle : \tau \times \sigma} \times I}{\lambda h. \langle \text{snd } h, \text{fst } h \rangle : (\sigma \times \tau) \rightarrow (\tau \times \sigma)} \rightarrow I^i$$

Program



# Proof Assistants

---

## Description

*'Proof assistants are computer systems that allow a user to do mathematics on a computer, but not so much the computing (numerical or symbolical) aspect of mathematics but the aspects of **proving** and **defining**. So a user can **set up** a mathematical theory, define properties and do logical reasoning with them.'* [Geuvers 2009, p. 3]

## Example

- ▶ Based on set theory: ISABELLE/ZFC, METAMATH and MIZAR
- ▶ Based on higher-order logic: HOL4, HOL LIGHT and ISABELLE/HOL
- ▶ Bases on type theories: AGDA, COQ and LEAN.

## What is AGDA?

- ▶ Dependently typed functional programming language
- ▶ Dependently typed interactive proof assistant

Long tradition: The ALF/AGDA family (Gothenburg - Sweden)

- ▶ ALF
- ▶ AGDA
- ▶ ALFA. Graphical interface for AGDA.
- ▶ AGDALIGHT. Experimental version of AGDA.
- ▶ AGDA 2
  - ▶ Based on **Martin-Löf Type Theory** (also known as **Constructive Type Theory** or **Intuitionistic Type Theory**).
  - ▶ Direct manipulation of proofs-objects.
  - ▶ Backends to HASKELL and JAVASCRIPT.
  - ▶ Written in HASKELL.
  - ▶ Interaction via EMACS.

# Further Reading

---

## Propositions-as-types principle

- ▶ Wadler [2015]. Propositions as Types.
- ▶ Sørensen and Urzyczyn [2006]. Lectures on the Curry-Howard Isomorphism.






## AGDA

- ▶ Bove and Dybjer [2009]. Dependent Types at Work.
- ▶ Norell [2009]. Dependently Typed Programming in Agda.
- ▶ Stump [2016]. Verified Functional Programming in Agda.

Demo

## References

---

-  Bove, A. and Dybjer, P. (2009). Dependent Types at Work. In: LerNet ALFA Summer School 2008. Ed. by Bove, A., Soares Barbosa, L., Pardo, A. and Sousa Pinto, J. Vol. 5520. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, pp. 57–99. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-642-03153-3\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-03153-3_2) (cit. on p. 20).
-  Geuvers, H. (2009). Proof Assistants: History, Ideas and Future. *Sadhana* 34.1, pp. 3–25. DOI: [10.1007/s12046-009-0001-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12046-009-0001-5) (cit. on p. 17).
-  Norell, U. (2009). Dependently Typed Programming in Agda. In: Advanced Functional Programming (AFP 2008). Ed. by Koopman, P., Plasmeijer, R. and Swierstra, D. Vol. 5832. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, pp. 230–266. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-642-04652-0\\_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-04652-0_5) (cit. on p. 20).
-  Sørensen, M.-H. and Urzyczyn, P. (2006). Lectures on the Curry-Howard Isomorphism. Vol. 149. *Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics*. Elsevier (cit. on pp. 5, 6, 20).
-  Stump, A. (2016). Verified Functional Programming in Agda. ACM and Morgan & Claypool. DOI: [10.1145/2841316](https://doi.org/10.1145/2841316) (cit. on p. 20).

## References

---



Wadler, P. (2015). Propositions as Types. *Communications of the ACM* 58.12, pp. 75–84.  
DOI: [10.1145/2699407](https://doi.org/10.1145/2699407) (cit. on pp. 2–4, 20).