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## Preliminaries

## Convention

The number assigned to chapters, examples, exercises, figures, sections, and theorems on these slides correspond to the numbers assigned in the textbook (Rosen 2004).

## Relations

Recall the definition of Cartesian product
Let $A$ and $B$ be sets. The Cartesian product of $A$ and $B$ is

$$
A \times B=\{(a, b) \mid a \in A \wedge b \in B\}
$$

Example
Let $A=\{a, b\}$ and $B=\{1,2\}$. Then

$$
A \times B=\{(a, 1),(a, 2),(b, 1),(b, 2)\} .
$$
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## Example
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Notation
We shall use $(a, b) \in R$ and $a R b$.
The slides for the 6th ed. of Rosen's textbook use $\langle a, b\rangle$.

## Relations

Relations and functions
The functions are relations with additional constraints.
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## Definition

A relation on a set $A$ is a relation from $A$ to $A$.

## Example

Some relations on $\mathbb{Z}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{1} & =\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \mid a \leq b\}, \\
R_{2} & =\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \mid a>b\}, \\
R_{3} & =\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \mid a=b \vee a=-b\}, \\
R_{4} & =\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \mid a=b\}, \\
R_{5} & =\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \mid a=b+1\}, \\
R_{6} & =\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \mid a+b \leq 3\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Properties of Relations

## Definition

Let $R$ be a relation on a set $A$. The relation $R$ is reflexive iff $\forall x(x R x)$, symmetric iff $\forall x \forall y(x R y \rightarrow y R x)$, antisymmetric iff $\forall x \forall y((x R y \wedge y R x) \rightarrow x=y)$ and
transitive iff $\forall x \forall y \forall z((x R y \wedge y R z) \rightarrow x R z)$.

## Properties of Relations

Example
See slides § 8.1, p. 5 for the 6th ed. of Rosen's textbook.

## Combing Relations

See slides § 8.1, pp. 6-8 for the 6th ed. of Rosen's textbook.

## Combing Relations

## Definition

Let $R$ be a relation from $A$ to $B$ and let $S$ be a relation from $B$ to $C$.
The composition of $S$ with $R$, denoted $S \circ R$, is the relation from $A$ to $C$ where if $(a, b) \in R$ and $(b, c) \in S$ then $(a, c) \in S \circ R$.

## Combing Relations

Example (composition of relations)
Let $A=\{1,2,3\}, B=\{1,2,3,4\}$ and $C=\{0,1,2\}$.
Let $R$ and $S$ be the relations from $A$ to $B$ and $B$ to $C$, respectively, given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
R & =\{(1,1),(1,4),(2,3),(3,1),(3,4)\} \\
S & =\{(1,0),(2,0),(3,1),(3,2),(4,1)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

then $S \circ R$ is the relation from $A$ to $C$, given by

$$
S \circ R=\{(1,0),(1,1),(2,1),(2,2),(3,0),(3,1)\} .
$$

## Combing Relations

## Problem 31 (p. 448)

Let $R$ be the relation on the set of people consisting of pairs $(a, b)$, where $a$ is a parent of $b$. Let $S$ be the relation on the set of people consisting of pairs $(a, b)$, where $a$ and $b$ are siblings (brothers or sisters).
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## Problem 31 (p. 448)

Let $R$ be the relation on the set of people consisting of pairs $(a, b)$, where $a$ is a parent of $b$. Let $S$ be the relation on the set of people consisting of pairs $(a, b)$, where $a$ and $b$ are siblings (brothers or sisters).

What are $S \circ R$ and $R \circ S$ ?

- $(a, b) \in S \circ R$ if exists $c$ such that $(a, c) \in R(a$ is parent of $c)$ and $(c, b) \in S(c$ is sibling of $b)$, that is

$$
S \circ R=\{(a, b) \mid a \text { is a parent of } b \text { and } b \text { has a sibling }\} .
$$

- $(a, b) \in R \circ S$ if exists $c$ such $(a, c) \in S$ ( $a$ is sibling of $c$ ) and $(c, b) \in R(c$ is parent of $b)$, that is

$$
R \circ S=\{(a, b) \mid a \text { is an aunt or uncle of } b\} .
$$

## Combing Relations

## Definition

Let $R$ be a relation on the set $A$. The powers $R^{n}$, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$are defined recursively by

$$
\begin{aligned}
R^{1} & =R, \\
R^{n+1} & =R^{n} \circ R .
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Definition

Let $R$ be a relation on the set $A$. The powers $R^{n}$, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$are defined recursively by

$$
\begin{aligned}
R^{1} & =R, \\
R^{n+1} & =R^{n} \circ R .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example

See slides § 8.1, pp. 9-10 for the 6th ed. of Rosen's textbook.

## Combing Relations

Theorem 1 (p. 446)
Let $R$ be a relation on a set $A$. The relation $R$ is transitive iff $R^{n} \subseteq R$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$.

Proved on next slides
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Proof of $\Rightarrow$ (if $R$ is transitive implies $R^{n} \subseteq R$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$).
By induction on $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$.

1. $P(n)$ : if $R$ is transitive implies $R^{n} \subseteq R$.
2. Basis step $P(1): R^{1}=R \subseteq R$
3. Inductive step:

Inductive hypothesis $P(k)$ : if $R$ is transitive implies $R^{k} \subseteq R$
Let's prove $P(k+1)$ :
3.1 Let $(a, b) \in R^{k+1}$.
3.2 Exists $x \in A$ such that $(a, x) \in R^{k}$ and $(x, b) \in R$ (definition of o).
$3.3(a, x) \in R(\mathrm{IH})$.
3.4 If $(a, x) \in R$ and $(x, b) \in R$ then $(a, b) \in R$ ( $R$ is transitive).
$3.5 R^{k+1} \subseteq R$.

Continued on next slide

## Combing Relations

Proof of $\Leftarrow$ (if $R^{n} \subseteq R$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$implies $R$ is transitive).
1 Suppose that $(a, b) \in R$ and $(b, c) \in R$.
$2(a, c) \in R^{2}$.
(def. of $R^{2}$ )
$3 \quad(a, c) \in R$.
$\left(R^{2} \subseteq R\right)$
4 Therefore, $R$ is transitive.

## Inverse and Complementary Relations

## Definition

Let $R$ be a relation from $A$ to $B$. The inverse relation from $B$ to $A$, denoted by $R^{-1}$, is the set of ordered pairs

$$
R^{-1}=\{(b, a) \in B \times A \mid(a, b) \in R\}
$$

## Inverse and Complementary Relations

## Definition

Let $R$ be a relation from $A$ to $B$. The complementary relation from $A$ to $B$, denoted by $\bar{R}$, is the set of ordered pairs

$$
\bar{R}=\{(a, b) \in A \times B \mid(a, b) \notin R\} .
$$
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