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## Preliminaries

## Convention

The number assigned to chapters, examples, exercises, figures, sections, and theorems on these slides correspond to the numbers assigned in the textbook (Rosen 2004).

## Lattices from the Partial Orders Theory

## Definition

A lattice (retículo) is a poset where every pair of elements has both a supremum and an infimum.
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## Definition

A lattice (retículo) is a poset where every pair of elements has both a supremum and an infimum.

## Example

The following poset is a lattice.


## Lattices from the Partial Orders Theory

## Example (counter-example)

The following poset is not a lattice because the upper bounds of the pair $\{b, c\}$ are $d, e$ and $f$, but this set has not a least upper bound.


## Lattices from the Partial Orders Theory

## Example (counter-example)

The following poset is not a lattice because for example, the pair $\{1,2\}$ has not supremum.


## Lattices from the Partial Orders Theory

## Example

- $\left(\mathbb{Z}^{+}, \mid\right)$is a lattice where the supremum is the least common multiple and the infimum is the greatest common divisor.


## Lattices from the Partial Orders Theory

## Example

- $\left(\mathbb{Z}^{+}, \mid\right)$is a lattice where the supremum is the least common multiple and the infimum is the greatest common divisor.
- Let $A$ be a set. Is $(P(A), \subseteq)$ a lattice?


## Algebraic Structures

## Definition

An algebraic structure on a set $A \neq 0$ is essentially a collection of $n$-ary operations on $A$ (Cohn 1981, p. 41).

## Example

A semigroup $(S, *)$ is a set $S$ with an associative binary operation * : $S \times S \rightarrow S$.

## Example

A monoid $(M, *, \epsilon)$ is a semigroup $(M, *)$ with an element $\epsilon \in M$ which is an unit for *, i.e. $\forall x(x * \epsilon=\epsilon * x=x)$.

## Lattices from the Algebraic Structures Theory

## Definition

Let $\wedge$ and $\vee$ be two binaries operations, called meet and join, respectively. $A$ lattice retículo is an algebraic structure $(L, \wedge, \vee)$, which satisfy the following axioms for all $x, y$ and $z$ in $L$ (Lipschutz and Lipson 2007):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x \wedge y=y \wedge x \\
& x \vee y=y \vee x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
(x \wedge y) \wedge z=x \wedge(y \wedge z)
$$

$$
(x \vee y) \vee z=x \vee(y \vee z)
$$

$$
x \wedge(x \vee y)=x
$$

$$
x \vee(x \wedge y)=x
$$

(Commutative laws)
(Associative laws)
(Absortion laws)

## Lattices from the Algebraic Structures Theory

## Example

Let $A$ be a set. $(P(A), \cap, \cup)$ is a lattice.

## Lattices from the Algebraic Structures Theory

## Definition

The dual of any statement in a lattice $(L, \wedge, \vee)$ is the statement obtained by interchanging $\wedge$ and $\vee$.
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## Definition

The dual of any statement in a lattice $(L, \wedge, \vee)$ is the statement obtained by interchanging $\wedge$ and $\vee$.

## Example

The dual of $x \wedge(y \vee x)=x \vee x$ is $x \vee(y \wedge x)=x \wedge x$.

## Lattices from the Algebraic Structures Theory

## Definition

The dual of any statement in a lattice $(L, \wedge, \vee)$ is the statement obtained by interchanging $\wedge$ and $\vee$.

Example
The dual of $x \wedge(y \vee x)=x \vee x$ is $x \vee(y \wedge x)=x \wedge x$.
Theorem (principle of duality)
The dual of any theorem in a lattice is also an theorem (Lipschutz and Lipson 2007).

## Lattices from the Algebraic Structures Theory

## Definition

The dual of any statement in a lattice $(L, \wedge, \vee)$ is the statement obtained by interchanging $\wedge$ and $\vee$.

## Example

The dual of $x \wedge(y \vee x)=x \vee x$ is $x \vee(y \wedge x)=x \wedge x$.
Theorem (principle of duality)
The dual of any theorem in a lattice is also an theorem (Lipschutz and Lipson 2007).

Proof.
The dual of every axiom in a lattice is also an axiom. Hence, the dual theorem can be proved by using the dual of each step of the proof of the original theorem.

## Lattices from the Algebraic Structures Theory

## Example

Let $(L, \wedge, \vee)$ be a lattice. Prove the idempotent laws

$$
\begin{align*}
& x \wedge x=x  \tag{1}\\
& x \vee x=x \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

## Lattices from the Algebraic Structures Theory

## Example

Let $(L, \wedge, \vee)$ be a lattice. Prove the idempotent laws

$$
\begin{align*}
& x \wedge x=x  \tag{1}\\
& x \vee x=x \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof of (1).

$$
\begin{aligned}
x \wedge x & =x \wedge(x \vee(x \wedge y)) & & \text { (second absortion law) } \\
& =x & & \text { (first absortion law) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Lattices from the Algebraic Structures Theory

## Example

Let $(L, \wedge, \vee)$ be a lattice. Prove the idempotent laws

$$
\begin{align*}
& x \wedge x=x  \tag{1}\\
& x \vee x=x \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof of (1).

$$
\begin{aligned}
x \wedge x & =x \wedge(x \vee(x \wedge y)) & & \text { (second absortion law) } \\
& =x & & \text { (first absortion law) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of (2).
By principle of duality on (1).

## Lattices from the Algebraic Structures Theory

Problem 40 (p. 500)
Prove that if $x$ and $y$ are elements of a lattice $(L, \wedge, \vee)$ then $x \vee y=y$, if and only if, $x \wedge y=x$.

## Lattices from the Algebraic Structures Theory

Problem 40 (p. 500)
Prove that if $x$ and $y$ are elements of a lattice $(L, \wedge, \vee)$ then $x \vee y=y$, if and only if, $x \wedge y=x$.

Proof $\rightarrow$.
Let's suppose $x \vee y=y$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
x & =x \wedge(x \vee y) \\
& =x \wedge y
\end{aligned}
$$

(first absortion law)
(hypothesis)

## Lattices from the Algebraic Structures Theory

Problem 40 (p. 500)
Prove that if $x$ and $y$ are elements of a lattice $(L, \wedge, \vee)$ then $x \vee y=y$, if and only if, $x \wedge y=x$.

Proof $\rightarrow$.
Let's suppose $x \vee y=y$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
x & =x \wedge(x \vee y) \\
& =x \wedge y
\end{aligned}
$$

(first absortion law)
(hypothesis)

Continued on next slide

## Lattices from the Algebraic Structures Theory

Proof $\leftarrow$.
Let's suppose $x \wedge y=x$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
y & =y \vee(y \wedge x) \\
& =y \vee(x \wedge y) \\
& =y \vee x \\
& =x \vee y
\end{aligned}
$$

(second absortion law)
(commutative law)
(hypothesis)
(commutative law)

## Equivalence of the Definitions

## Theorem

Let $(L, \wedge, \vee)$ be a lattice. Then $(L, \preceq)$ is a partial order, where the relation $\preceq$ is defined by (Lipschutz and Lipson 2007):

$$
x \preceq y \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} x \wedge y=x .
$$

## Equivalence of the Definitions

## Theorem

Let $(L, \wedge, \vee)$ be a lattice. Then $(L, \preceq)$ is a partial order, where the relation $\preceq$ is defined by (Lipschutz and Lipson 2007):

$$
x \preceq y \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} x \wedge y=x
$$

Proof.

1. The relation $\preceq$ is reflexive $x \wedge x=x$ (idempotency), for all $x \in L$. Therefore $x \preceq x$, for all $x \in L$.

Continued on next slide

## Equivalence of the Definitions

Proof (continuation)
2. The relation $\preceq$ is antisymmetric

Suppose $x \preceq y$ and $y \preceq x$, then $x \wedge y=x$ and $y \wedge x=y$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
x & =x \wedge y \\
& =y \wedge x \\
& =y
\end{aligned}
$$

(hypothesis)
(commutative law)
(hypothesis)
That is, $\preceq$ is antisymmetric.
Continued on next slide

## Equivalence of the Definitions

Proof (continuation).
3. The relation $\preceq$ is transitive

Suppose $x \preceq y$ and $y \preceq z$, then $x \wedge y=x$ and $y \wedge z=y$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
x \wedge z & =(x \wedge y) \wedge z & & \text { (hypothesis) } \\
& =x \wedge(y \wedge z) & & \text { (associativity law) } \\
& =x \wedge y & & \text { (hypothesis) } \\
& =x & & \text { (hypothesis) }
\end{aligned}
$$

That is, $x \preceq z$.

## Equivalence of the Definitions

## Remark

Let $(L, \wedge, \vee)$ be a lattice and let be $(L, \preceq)$ the order partial induced by $(L, \wedge, \vee)$. It is possible prove that $(L, \preceq)$ is a lattice.

## Equivalence of the Definitions

Theorem (Problem 39, p. 500)
Let $(L, \preceq)$ be a lattice. Then $(L, \wedge, \vee)$ is a lattice, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x \wedge y \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \inf (x, y), \\
& x \vee y \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \sup (x, y),
\end{aligned}
$$

## Equivalence of the Definitions

Theorem (Problem 39, p. 500)
Let $(L, \preceq)$ be a lattice. Then $(L, \wedge, \vee)$ is a lattice, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x \wedge y \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \inf (x, y), \\
& x \vee y \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \sup (x, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof.

1. Commutative laws for $\wedge$ and $\vee$ (Rosen's solution).

Because $\inf (x, y)=\inf (y, x)$ and $\sup (x, y)=\sup (y, x)$, it follows that $x \wedge y=y \wedge x$ and $x \vee y=y \vee x$.

Continued on next slide

## Equivalence of the Definitions

Proof (continuation)
2. Associative laws for $\wedge$ and $\vee$ (Rosen's solution).

Using the definition, $(x \wedge y) \wedge z$ is a lower bound of $x, y$ and $z$ that is greater than every other lower bound. Because $x, y$ and $z$ play interchangeable roles, $x \wedge(y \wedge z)$ is the same element.

## Equivalence of the Definitions

## Proof (continuation)

2. Associative laws for $\wedge$ and $\vee$ (Rosen's solution).

Using the definition, $(x \wedge y) \wedge z$ is a lower bound of $x, y$ and $z$ that is greater than every other lower bound. Because $x, y$ and $z$ play interchangeable roles, $x \wedge(y \wedge z)$ is the same element.

Similarly, $(x \vee y) \vee z$ is an upper bound of $x, y$ and $z$ that is less than every other upper bound. Because $x, y$ and $z$ play interchangeable roles, $x \vee(y \vee z)$ is the same element.

Continued on next slide

## Equivalence of the Definitions

Proof (continuation).
3. Absortion laws for $\wedge$ and $\vee$ (Rosen's solution).

To show that $x \wedge(x \vee y)=x$ it is sufficient to show that $x$ is the greatest lower bound of $x$, and $x \vee y$. Note that $x$ is a lower bound of $x$, and because $x \vee y$ is by definition greater than $x, x$ is a lower bound for it as well. Therefore, $x$ is a lower bound. But any lower bound of $x$ has to be less than $x$, so $x$ is the greatest lower bound.

The second statement is the dual of the first; we omit its proof.
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