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## Preliminaries

## Convention

The number assigned to chapters, examples, exercises, figures, sections, and theorems on these slides correspond to the numbers assigned in the textbook (Rosen 2004).

## Introduction

Equivalence relations split sets into disjoint classes of equivalent elements. ${ }^{\dagger}$

${ }^{\dagger}$ Figure source: (Rosen 2012, § 9.5, Fig. 1).

## Equivalence Relations

## Definition

A relation on a set $A$ is an equivalence relation iff it is reflexive, symmetric and transitive.
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## Definition

A relation on a set $A$ is an equivalence relation iff it is reflexive, symmetric and transitive.

Example (words of the same length)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma & =\{a, b, \ldots, z\} \\
\Sigma^{*} & =\{w \mid w \text { is a word on } \Sigma\} \\
R & =\left\{\left(w, w^{\prime}\right) \mid l(w)=l\left(w^{\prime}\right)\right\} \subseteq \Sigma^{*} \times \Sigma^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$
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Let $A=\{a, e, i, o, u\}$. Is the equality relation on $A$ an equivalence relation?
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## Exercise
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## Exercise

Let $A \neq \emptyset$ be a set. Are the relations $\emptyset$ and $A \times A$ equivalence relations?
Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{FUN} & =\{f \mid f:\{0,1\} \rightarrow\{0,1\}\} \\
R & =\{(f, g) \mid f(1)=g(1)\} \subseteq \mathrm{FUN} \times \mathrm{FUN}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Equivalence Relations

## Exercise <br> Let $A$ be a unitary set. It is possible to define an equivalence relation on $A$ ?
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## Exercise

Let $A$ be a unitary set. It is possible to define an equivalence relation on $A$ ?
Exercise
Define an equivalence relation on a finite/infinite set.

## Equivalence Relations

## Definition

Let $m$ and $n$ be integers and let $d$ be a positive integer. The number $m$ is congruent to $n$ modulo $d$, denoted by $m \equiv n(\bmod d)$, iff $d \mid(m-n)$.
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## Definition

Let $m$ and $n$ be integers and let $d$ be a positive integer. The number $m$ is congruent to $n$ modulo $d$, denoted by $m \equiv n(\bmod d)$, iff $d \mid(m-n)$.

## Example

The congruence relation is an equivalence relation.
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## Definition

Let $R$ be an equivalence relation on a set $A$. The equivalence class of $a \in A$ with respect to $R$ is defined by

$$
[a]_{R}=\{s \in A \mid(a, s) \in R\} .
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## Definition

Let $R$ be an equivalence relation on a set $A$. The equivalence class of $a \in A$ with respect to $R$ is defined by

$$
[a]_{R}=\{s \in A \mid(a, s) \in R\} .
$$

Notation: We remove the subscript $R$ if the relation $R$ is clear in the context.

Example (Words of the same length)

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[\lambda] } & =\{\lambda\} \\
{[a] } & =\{a, b, \ldots, z\}=[k] \\
{[a a] } & =\{a a, a b, \ldots, a z, b a, b b, \ldots, b z, \ldots z a, \ldots z z\}, \\
{[h g b j] } & =\{w \mid l(w)=4\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Equivalence Classes

## Example (equality relation)

Whiteboard.

## Equivalence Classes

Example (equality relation)
Whiteboard.
Example (Cartesian product)
Whiteboard.
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## Theorem

Let $R$ be an equivalence relation on a set $A$. For all $a, b \in A$,

$$
a R b \Rightarrow[a]=[b] .
$$

Proof.
i) $a R b \Rightarrow[a] \subseteq[b]$
$1 a R b$.
(hypothesis)
2 Let $c \in[a]$.
$3 a R c$.
(def. of $[a]$ )
4 bRa.
( $R$ is symmetric)
5 bRc.
( $R$ is transitive)
$6 \quad c \in[b]$.
(def. of $[b]$ )
7 Therefore $[a] \subseteq[b]$.
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## Theorem

Let $R$ be an equivalence relation on a set $A$. For all $a, b \in A$,

$$
a R b \Rightarrow[a]=[b] .
$$

Proof.
ii) $a R b \Rightarrow[b] \subseteq[a]$
$1 a R b$.
(hypothesis)
2 Let $c \in[b]$.
3 bRc.
(def. of $[b]$ )
$4 a R c$.
( $R$ is transitive)
$5 c \in[a]$.
(def. of $[a]$ )
6 Therefore $[b] \subseteq[a]$.
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## Theorem

Let $R$ be an equivalence relation on a set $A$. For all $a, b \in A$,

$$
[a]=[b] \Rightarrow[a] \cap[b] \neq \emptyset .
$$

Proof.
$1 \quad[a]=[b]$. (hypothesis)
$2[a]=\{a, \ldots\}$.
( $R$ is reflexive)

3 Therefore $[a] \cap[b] \neq \emptyset$.

## Equivalence Relations

Theorem
Let $R$ be an equivalence relation on a set $A$. For all $a, b \in A$,

$$
[a] \cap[b] \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow a R b
$$

## Equivalence Relations

Theorem
Let $R$ be an equivalence relation on a set $A$. For all $a, b \in A$,

$$
[a] \cap[b] \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow a R b .
$$

Proof.
$1 \quad[a] \cap[b] \neq \emptyset$.
(hypothesis)

2 Let $c$ such that $c \in[a]$ and $c \in[b]$.
$3 a R c$ y $b R c$.
(def. of $[a]$ and $[b]$ )
$4 c R b$.
( $R$ is symmetric)
5 Therefore $a R b$.
( $R$ is transitive)

## Equivalence Relations

Theorem 1 (p. 476)
Let $R$ be an equivalence relation on a set $A$. For all $a, b \in A$, the following statements are equivalent:

$$
\begin{gather*}
a R b,  \tag{1}\\
{[a]=[b],}  \tag{2}\\
{[a] \cap[b] \neq \emptyset .} \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof.
(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) (previous theorem),
(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) (previous theorem) and
$(3) \Rightarrow(1)$ (previous theorem).

## Equivalence Relations and Partitions

## Definition

A partition of a set $A$ is a collection of subsets $\left\{A_{i} \mid i \in I\right\}$ of $A$ such that: ${ }^{\dagger}$
i) $A_{i} \neq \emptyset$, for $i \in I$,
ii) $A_{i} \cap A_{j}=\emptyset$ when $i \neq j$ (disjoint subsets) and
iii) $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_{i}=A$.


## Equivalence Relations and Partitions

Theorem 2 (p. 477)
Let $R$ be an equivalence relation on a set $A$. Then the equivalence classes of $R$ form a partition of $A$.

Proof.
The collection of subsets is given by

$$
\left\{A_{[a]_{R}} \mid[a]_{R} \text { is an equivalence class respect to } R\right\} \text {. }
$$

Using the above collection, the conditions i), ii) and iii) are satisfied.

## Equivalence Relations and Partitions

Theorem 2 (Rosen (5th ed.), p. 477)
Given a partition $\left\{A_{i} \mid i \in I\right\}$ of a set $A$, there is an equivalence relation $R$ that has the sets $A_{i}$ as its equivalence classes.

## Equivalence Relations and Partitions

Theorem 2 (Rosen (5th ed.), p. 477)
Given a partition $\left\{A_{i} \mid i \in I\right\}$ of a set $A$, there is an equivalence relation $R$ that has the sets $A_{i}$ as its equivalence classes.

## Example

Given a partition to build the equivalence relation associated.

## Equivalence Relations and Partitions

Proof.
Let $R$ be the relation defined by

$$
R=\left\{(a, b) \mid a, b \in A_{i}\right\}
$$

$R$ is a relation of equivalence:

## Equivalence Relations and Partitions

Proof.
Let $R$ be the relation defined by

$$
R=\left\{(a, b) \mid a, b \in A_{i}\right\} .
$$

$R$ is a relation of equivalence:

- Reflexivity and symmetry

Direct from the definition of $R$.
Continued on next slide

## Equivalence Relations and Partitions

Proof (continuation).

$$
R=\left\{(a, b) \mid a, b \in A_{i}\right\} .
$$

- Transitivity

1) $a R b$ and $b R c$.
2) Exists $X \in\left\{A_{i} \mid i \in I\right\}$ such that $a, b \in X$ by definition of $R$.
3) Exists $Y \in\left\{A_{i} \mid i \in I\right\}$ such that $b, c \in Y$ by definition of $R$.
4) $X=Y$ because $b \in X$ and $b \in Y$ and the $A_{i} \mathrm{~s}$ are disjoints.
5) $\quad a R c(a, c \in X$ and def. of $R)$.

## Equivalence Relations and Partitions

Proof (continuation).

$$
R=\left\{(a, b) \mid a, b \in A_{i}\right\} .
$$

- Transitivity

1) $a R b$ and $b R c$.
2) Exists $X \in\left\{A_{i} \mid i \in I\right\}$ such that $a, b \in X$ by definition of $R$.
3) Exists $Y \in\left\{A_{i} \mid i \in I\right\}$ such that $b, c \in Y$ by definition of $R$.
4) $X=Y$ because $b \in X$ and $b \in Y$ and the $A_{i} \mathrm{~s}$ are disjoints.
5) $a R c(a, c \in X$ and def. of $R)$.

Now, $[a]_{R}=\{s \mid(a, s) \in R\}$ and by the definition of the relation $R$, these equivalence classes correspond to the sets $A_{i}$.
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